Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 142977

50
ORIGIN ARA-02

INFO OCT-01 EUR-02 ISO-00 /005 R

66619
DRAFTED BY ARA/CAR:KLWAUCHOPE:LH
APPROVED BY ARA/CAR:MR. BURKE
---------- 115802
R 022058Z JUL 74
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO AMEMBASSY LONDON

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 142977

FOLLOWING REPEAT NASSAU 1177 ACTION SECSTATE INFO DEPT OF DEFENSE 01 JULY 1974. QUOTE:

C O N F I D E N T I A L NASSAU 1177

E. O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: MARR BF US
SUBJ: FACILITIES TALKS: ADDERLEY TALKS MAXIMAL

REF: NASSAU 1176

BEGIN SUMMARY: AMBASSADOR AND EMBOFF MET WITH GCOB MINEXTAFF ADDERLEY AND PERMSEC RUSSELL AFTERNOON JUNE 28 AT LATTER'S REQUEST TO DISCUSS FACILITIES TALKS. AFTER PROPOSING NEW TARGET DATE OF DECEMBER 31 FOR CONCLUSION OF DEFINITIVE AGREEMENT (REFTEL), ADDERLEY GOT TO MEAT OF MEETING, WHICH WAS STATEMENT OF BAHAMIAN VIEWS ON ENTIRE ISSUE OF WHERE TALKS SHOULD LEAD. GCOB APPEARS FINALLY TO HAVE GIVEN SOME COLLECTIVE THOUGHT TO ISSUE AND ADDERLEY PRESENTED A MAXIMAL OPENING BID FOR THE DISCUSSIONS, PROPOSING IN VAGUE TERMS CONSIDERABLE US FINANCIAL AID TO THE BAHAMAS OVER AT LEAST A TEN-YEAR PERIOD. SUCH AN OPENING POSITION HAD BEEN ANTICIPATED (SEE NASSAU 833), AND AMBASSADOR SOUGHT TO POINT UP BASIC INCONGRUITY BETWEEN SPECIFIC FACILITIES AND OPERATING RIGHTS AGREEMENT ON ONE HAND AND BAHAMIAN DESIRE FOR OVERALL US FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO BOLSTER GENERAL FRIENDLY BILATERAL RELA-
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 142977

TIONSHIP ON THE OTHER. WHILE ADDERLEY'S PRESENTATION SHOULD BE VIEWED AS AN OPENING POSITION PROPOSED BY PERSONS WHO HAVE LITTLE EXPERIENCE IN INTERNATIONAL NEGOTIATION, EMBASSY BELIEVES IT SHOULD BE TAKEN SERIOUSLY, PRESENTS SEVERAL SIG-

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

NIFICANT POINTS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION AND AT LEAST CLEARLY STATES GCOB REJECTION OF US OFFER OF $500,000 PER YEAR CASH.
END SUMMARY

1. ADDERLEY REFERRED TO NOTES THROUGHOUT HIS PRESENTATION AND, WHAT IS EVEN MORE UNUSUAL, HIMSELF TOOK NOTES OF AMB-ASSADOR'S RESPONSES. ADDERLEY BEGAN WITH REFERENCE TO TWO AGREEMENTS, ON FACILITIES AND ON OPERATING RIGHTS, AND SAID THESE WERE TWO DIFFERENT KINDS OF AGREEMENTS TO BE APPROACHED SEPARATELY. FACILITIES WAS MUCH THE EASIER TO DEAL WITH. HE NOTED WHAT MIGHT BE A "MINOR" PROBLEM RELATING TO FACILITIES DRAFT. HE SAID THAT IT APPEARED FROM PRELIMINARY GCOB STUDY OF MAPS THAT PART OF SEABED AREA SOUGHT BY USG IN REGION OF TONGUE OF THE OCEAN OFF ANDROS OVERLAPPED SEABED AREA ALREADY UNDER PRIVATE SEABED MINERAL EXPLOITATION LEASE FROM GCOB TO MARCONA ARAGONITE CONCERN. ADDERLEY SAID AREA OF OVERLAP APPEARED TO BE IN NORTHWEST CORNER OF AREA DESIRED BY USG. ADDERLEY SAID MARCONA (OCEAN ENTERPRISES) HAD NOT SHOWN ANY ACTUAL INTEREST IN THIS AREA AND PROBABLY WOULD DESIRE NEITHER TO EXPLORE OR EXPLOIT THERE DURING TERM OF ITS LEASE, AND THAT DUAL USES OF AREA WOULD PROBABLY NOT CONFLICT IN ANY EVENT, BUT THAT SOME THOUGHT SHOULD BE DEVOTED TO THIS. COM-MENTING ON FACILITIES MAPS AND DESCRIPTIONS PROVIDED BY U.S., ADDERLEY SAID THAT GCOB HAD NOT REALIZED BEFOREHOW MANY SITES EXISTED UNDER THE AUTEC AEGIS, SUGGESTING THAT THE BRITISH HAD NOT TOLD THE GCOB ABOUT SOME OF THEM. (COMMENT: EMBASSY BELIEVES FULL INFORMATION ON THIS HAS BEEN AVAILABLE TO MIN-ISTRY FOR SOME TIME, EVEN IF ADDERLEY UNAWARE OF IT.) ADDERLEY SAID IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO KNOW WHETHER ALL AUTEC SITES WERE CURRENTLY BEING USED AND WHETHER ALL WOULD CONTINUE IN USE FOR LIFE OF DED
NE FACILITIES AGREEMENT.

2. TURNING TO OPERATING RIGHTS, ADDERLEY SAID THESE GAVE GCOB CONSIDERABLE CONCEPTUAL PROBLEMS. HE SAID THE PRESENT US DRAFT ON OPERATING RIGHTS "GOES MUCH FARTHER" THAN GCOB "COULD POSSIBLY GO" IN GRANTING U.S. RIGHTS WITHIN GCOB JURISDICTION.
CONFIDENTIAL

ADDERLEY SAID IT APPEARED THAT US DRAFT WOULD GRANT USG GREA-TER POWERS WITHIN THE BAHAMAS THAN THE USG HAS WITHIN ITS OWN FIFTY STATES. HE SAID CONCEPT OF OPERATING RIGHTS IMMEDIATELY RAISES THE QUESTION "OPERATING RIGHTS OVER WHAT, I.E., WHERE?" REAL ISSUE IS MOVEMENT OF SHIPS AND AIRCRAFT AND THIS ENTAILS THE EXTENT OF BAHAMIAN TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION, "AND THUS WE GET TO THE ARCHIPELAGO." ADDERLEY SAID HE COULD NOT SEE HOW WE COULD DECIDE ON OPERATING RIGHTS WITHOUT COMING TO AGREEMENT ON PRECISELY (NOT VAGUELY) WHAT THE JURISDICTIONAL AREA IN WHICH OPERATING RIGHTS ARE EXERCISED IS (SUCH FORMULATIONS AS "AREA UNDER BAHAMIAN JURISDICTION" -- WITH GENTLEMEN'S AGREE-MENT TO LEAVE CONTENT OF THAT PHRASE VAGUE -- WOULD NOT BE SUFFICIENT, HE SUGGESTED). ADDERLEY STRESSED, HOWEVER, THAT

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

THE GCOB WISHES TO ACCOMMODATE US INTERESTS IN THIS AREA "AS MUCH AS WE CAN."

3. WHILE STATING THAT TWO AGREEMENTS ARE DIFFERENT IN KIND, ADDERLEY SAID THAT THEY PROBABLY WOULD HAVE SOME FEATURES IN COMMON, GIVING AS AN EXAMPLE COMMON TERMINATION DATES. IN THIS RESPECT HE SAID IT APPEARED TO GCOB THAT 15 YEARS WAS TOO LONG A PERIOD OF VALIDITY FOR EITHER AGREEMENT AND THAT 10 YEARS SEEMED MORE REASONABLE. ADDERLEY SAID THAT THIS PREFERENCE FOR A 10-YEAR TERM HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH FACT THAT ORIGINAL AUTEC LEASE DUE TO EXPIRE IN 1983, AND WOULD BE PREFERABLE IN ANY CASE. AT SAME TIME, HE SAID GCOB WOULD HAVE NO OBJECTION TO OPTION-TO-RENEW CLAUSE, CALLING FOR RENEWAL ON TERMS TO BE NEGOTIABLE AT END OF TEN YEARS OR BEFORE. IF AGREEMENT IS ACCEPTABLE, ADDERLEY SUGGESTED LATER, THERE IS NO REASON IT SHOULD BE NOT A "LIFETIME" AGREEMENT, RENEWABLE EVERY TEN YEARS.
S

4. ADDERLEY SAID MOST IMPORTANT POINT HE HAD TO MAKE WAS INDICATION OF HOW GCOB "VIEWS THE MATERIAL CONSIDERATION" FOR ENTERING INTO DEFINITIVE AGREEMENTS, I.E. THE QUID. HE CHARACTERIZED PREVIOUS US QUID OFFER AS "NEBULOUS" AND SAID THAT CERTAIN ITEMS, SUCH AS SPONSORING UN, OAS (OR EVEN NATO) MEMBERSHIP, WERE OF LITTLE INTEREST TO THE GCOB NOW. ADDERLEY SAID KEY TO WHOLE ISSUE OF FACILITIES TALKS WAS HOW VALUABLE FACILITIES AND OPERATING RIGHTS ARE TO THE US. GCOB DOES NOT KNOW THIS AND WILL NOT PRESS U.S. TO REVEAL IT.
CONFIDENTIAL

WHAT IS IMPORTANT TO THE US MAY NOT BE SAME AS WHAT IS IMPORTANT TO THE GCOB, AND VICE VERSA, SO IT WAS IMPORTANT FOR ADDERLEY TO INFORM AMBASSADOR OF WHAT WAS IMPORTANT TO BAHAMIAN GOVERNMENT.

5. ONE THING IMPORTANT TO GCOB, ADDERLEY SAID, WAS ITS RELATIONS WITH OTHER GOVERNMENTS, NOT ONLY US AND UK BUT (A) COMMONWEALTH CARIBBEAN, (B) "THIRD WORLD" AND (C) CUBA. COMMENT: ADDERLEY APPEARED AWARE THAT THIS WAS THE WEAKEST PORTION OF HIS PRESENTATION, AS WELL AS ONE WHICH TENDED TO CONFLICT WITH HIS PERSONAL VIEWS AS EXPRESSED PREVIOUSLY TO AMBASSADOR, AND HE MADE IT WITH LITTLE RELISH AND EVIDENCED SOME RELIEF WHEN IT WAS CONCLUDED AND AMBASSADOR ELECTED NOT TO PURSUE IT. END COMMENT. ADDERLEY SAID THAT OTHER FORMER COLONIES HAVE NOT ENTERED INTO SUCH FACILITIES OR OPERATING RIGHTS AGREEMENTS WITH THE US OR UK AND THAT "OTHER CARIBBEAN COMMONWEALTH NATIONS THINK WE'RE FOOLISH EVEN TO DISCUSS THE MATTER WITH YOU." HE SAID, OF THIRD WORLD DISAPPROVAL TOWARD GETTING TOO CLOSE TO MAJOR POWERS, "WE HAVE TO LIVE IN THIS (THIRD) WORLD TOO", AND THAT THE STATUS, CREDIBILITY AND SOVEREIGNTY OF THE BAHAMAS MUST BE MAINTAINED IN SUCH EYES. ADDERLEY SUGGESTED THAT CONCLUDING DEFINITIVE AGREEMENT WITH

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

THE US WOULD ALSO PROBABLY ADVERSELY AFFECT THE PROBLEMS THE BAHAMAS IS ALREADY HAVING IN ATTRACTING THE ATTENTION OF MULTILATERAL LENDING FORA, THE ARGUMENT BEING THAT THE BAHAMAS, WHICH HAS A RELATIVELY HIGH PER CAPITA INCOME, WOULD BE EVEN LESS SYMPATHETICALLY VIEWED IN SUCH FORA IF IT WERE CONSIDERED "A BASTARDFIFTY-FIRST STATE"OF THE US. ON CUBA, ADDERLEY SAID THAT THUS FAR IT HAS BEEN POSSIBLE TO KEEP CUBA NO MORE THAN "A MINOR NUISANCE" TO THE BAHAMAS (CITING LAST WINTER'S FISHING INCIDENT) BUT THAT THE SIGNING OF A DEFINITIVE FACILITIES AGREEMENT WITH THE US MIGHTHAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT ON THIS ISSUE AS WELL.

6. THE CONCLUSION OF DEFINITIVE AGREEMENT WOULD THUS SUBJECT THE GCOB TO A VARIETY OF PROBLEMS, INCLUDING DOMESTIC POLITICAL PROBLEMS, AND IT WAS TO OFFSET THESE, ADDERLEY SUGGESTED, THAT "COMPENSATION" IN SOME FORM WAS REQUIRED. HE SAID THAT WHAT SEEMS IMPORTANT TO THE US IS ITS GLOBAL POISITON AND THE DEFENSE OF ITS SECURITY INTERESTS. WHAT IS IMPORTANT TO THE GCOB IS THE DEFENSE OF THE BAHAMAS AND, MOST URGENTLY, CONFIDENTIAL

ITS ABILITY TO "SURVIVE ECONOMICALLY". IN PARTICULAR, WHAT THE US WANTS ARE FACILITIES AND OPERATING RIGHTS IN THE BAHAMAS, "WHICH WE ARE PREPARED TO CONSIDER FAVORABLY, SUBJECT TO CERTAIN CONDITION" WHICH PARTIES CAN DISCUSS IN DETAIL LATER. WHAT GCOB WANTS IS ECONOMIC SECURITY AND "WHO IS BETTER ABLE TO DEAL WITH THAT" THAN THE USG?

7. AGAIN ADDERLEY SAID THAT THE ONLY REAL QUESTION IS HOW BADLY THE US WANTS WHAT IT WANTS. HE SUGGESTED THAT A CASH OFFER OF $500,000 PER YEAR INDICATED THAT THE US WAS NOT "SERIOUS" ABOUT EITHER FACILITIES OR OPERATING RIGHTS IN THE BAHAMAS. ADDERLEY NOTED THAT THE BRITISH, WHO HE SAID "ARE ONLY ASKING FOR UNDER FIVE ACRES" WERE NOT OFFERING $1 MILLION PER YEAR FOR TEN YEARS, WHEREAS THE US "WANTS MORE THAN 100 TIMES AS MUCH AS THE UK." ADDERLEY REPEATED SEVERAL TIMES THAT THE HALF-MILLION ANNUAL FIGURE WAS UNREALISTIC, SAID IT MIGHT BE BETTER FOR NEITHER SIDE TO MENTION IT AGAIN AND SUGGESTED THAT HIS FLAT REJECTION OF IT WAS PLACED IN MORE DIPLOMATIC TERMS THAN THOSE EMPLOYED BY HIS CABINET COLLEAGUES. COMMENT: ADDERLEY MENTIONED NO COUNTER-FIGURE, BUT HE LEFT NO DOUBT THAT US HAD BETTER COME UP WITH A NEW FIGURE IF FRUITFUL DISCUSSIONS ARE TO CONTINUE.

8. ADDERLEY INDICATED FOUR FORMS IN WHICH THE GCOB WOULD LIKE TO RECEIVE "MATERIAL CONSIDERATION" FOR THE US. (A) CASH; (B) GRANT AID FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; (C) DEVELOPMENT BANK LOANS; AND (D) GENERAL, BILATERAL DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE. ADDERLEY MADE QUITE CLEAR HE WAS TALKING ABOUT A BROAD PROGRAM OF ASSISTANCE THAT SHOULD BE VIEWED IN THE CONTEXT OF A MINIMUM 10-YEAR PERIOD RATHER THAN AS SO MUCH PER YEAR. HE SAID THAT WHAT WE NEED TO FIND IS A FORMULA FOR OVERALL US

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

ASSISTANCE TO THE BAHAMAS. HE ADMITTED THAT EVEN IF THE US
GAVE THE GCOB -100 MILLION IN CASH THE LATTER WOULDN'T KNOW
HOW TO HANDLE IT BECAUSE NO "PERMANENT ECONOMIC ESTABLISH-
MENT" EXISTS IN THE BAHAMAS. ADDERLEY SAID IT WAS PRECISELY
ON THIS NEED FOR ECONOMIC SECURITY AND THE BUILDING OF ECO-
NOMIC INSTITUTIONS THAT THE FOCUS OF OUR BILATERAL RELATION-
SHIP MUST REST. HE SURMISED THAT US MILITARY EXPERTS AND LEGAL
DRAFTSMEN PROBABLY FAILED TO REALIZE THE ECONOMIC NECESSITIES
OF THE BAHAMAS AND THE LIKELY LIABILITIES TO THE GCOB FROM
SIGNING A DEFINITIVE FACILITIES AND OPERATING RIGHTS AGREE-
CONFIDENTIAL

MENT WITH THE US. ECONOMIC STABILITY WAS NECESSARY IN ORDER
TO CREATE POLITICAL STABILITY, ADDERLEY WARNED.9. ADDERLEY SAID THAT
THE GCOB WAS NOT IN THE MARKET OF
MERELY SELLING THE US SPACE IN THE BAHAMAS AND DID NOT WANT
THE TALKS TO TAKE PLACE IN THAT SORT OF ENVIRONMENT. ADDERLEY
ADMITTED THAT US NEEDS IN THE BAHAMAS WERE FAR EASIER TO
DEFINE THAN BAHAMIAN INTERESTS, AND FOR THAT REASON EASIER
TO AFFIX A PRICE-TAG TO. BUT HE SAID THAT SOME OF THE THINGS
THE GCOB WAS INTERESTED IN WERE AGRICULTURE, FISHERIES AND
THE DEVELOPMENT OF A DEEP-SEA FISHING PORT AND PROCESSING
POINT IN THE BAHAMAS. IN HIS ONLY CLEARLY SPECIFIC EXAMPLE,
ADDERLEY SAID THAT WHEN THE USG TURNS THE AID LIVESTOCK PRO-
JECT ON ANDROS OVER TO THE BAHAMAS ASSISTANCE MAY WELL BE
REQUIRED TO CONTINUE THE PROJECT UNDER BAHAMIAN AUSPICES,
AND THAT FROM FIVE TO TEN MILLION DOLLARS OF DIRECT ASSISTANCE
MAY BE NECESSARY. ADDERLEY ADMITTED THAT LARGE AMOUNTS OF
CAPITAL MIGHT BE REQUIRED FOR SUCH PROJECTS, BUT SOUGHT TO
GIVE EXAMPLES OF "SPECIAL RELATIONSHIPS" THE US HAD HAD ELSE-
WHERE (CITING THOSE WITH MEXICO AND FOR DUTY-FREE IMPORT OF
AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES IN PRE-CASTRO CUBA) AND ARGUED THAT
ASSISTANCE DIRECTED TO THE BAHAMAS COULD BE DISTINGUISHED
FROM THAT PROVIDED ELSEWHERE SINCE VIRTUALLY ALL DOLLARS
DIRECTED HERE WOULD FIND THEIR WAY BACK TO THE US.

10. WHEN ADDERLEY CAME UP FOR AIR, AMBASSADOR INDICATED "PRI-
VATELY" HIS VIEWS. AMBASSADOR NOTED THAT ADDERLEY'S REMARKS
SUGGESTED SOME CONCEPTUAL DIFFICULTIES MIGHT ARISE. HE SAID
THAT US VIEWED TALKS AS INVOLVING SPECIFIC FACILITIES AND
OPERATING RIGHTS. FACILITIES WERE LOCATED HERE LARGELY BY
HISTORICAL ACCIDENT, THEY WERE NOT REGARDED AS HAVING IMPOR-
TANT STRATEGIC SIGNIFICANCE, AND THEIR CONTINUED PRESENCE HERE
WOULD BE WEIGHED ON A COST-EFFECTIVE BASIS CONSIDERING THE
PRICE OF RELOCATION. AMBASSADOR NOTED THAT ADDERLEY, ON OTHER
HAND, SEEMED TO BE DISCUSSING A GENERAL BILATERAL RELATION-
SHIP AND WAS SEEKING TO HANG IT FROM A VERY THIN REED. AMBAS-
SADOR URGED ADDERLEY TO COME UP WITH A "BILL OF PARTICULARS"
OF SPECIFIC BAHAMIAN REQUIEMENTS IN THE AREA OF ECONOMIC DEVEL-
OPMENT AND TO PLACE SUCH ITEMS IN TERMS WHICH ARE INDEPENDENTLY
JUSTIFIABLE, RATHER THAN SEEK TO EXPRESS THEM AS A QUID FOR
AGREEMENT ON FACILITIES AND OPERATING RIGHTS. AMBASSADOR

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

STRESSED HIS CONCERN THAT US AND GCOB SEEMED FROM ADDERLEY'S
CONFIDENTIAL

REMARKS TO BE TALKING ABOUT TWO ENTIRELY DIFFERENT THINGS.

11. AMBASSADOR NOTED THAT $500,000 PER YEAR FIGURE WAS NOT FINAL BUT INDICATED HIS PERSONAL DOUBT THAT FIGURE COULD GO MUCH BEYOND TWICE THAT, GIVEN US LEGAL AND POLICY CONSTRAINTS ON FACILITIES RENTAL. HE SAID US IS WILLING TO MAKE REASONABLE CASH PAYMENT BUT HE HOPED GCOB WOULD NOT PUT US AND UK QUIDS ON SOME SORT OF SLIDING ACREAGE SCALE. ADDERLEY INDICATED HE DID NOT WISH TO DO SO, BUT ADDED THAT $500,000 FIGURE WAS "RIDICULOUS, NOT EVEN A STARTING POINT FOR DISCUSSION." ADDERLEY SAID THAT HE HAD "HEARD" THAT "SOMEONE IN THE STATE DEPARTMENT" HAD DETERMINED THAT 25 MILLION DOLLARS WAS THE MAXIMUM PAYABLE OVER A 15-YEAR PERIOD FOR FACILITIES AND OPERATING RIGHTS AND THAT WHOEVER THOUGHT THAT FIGURE UP "OBVIOUSLY THOUGHT HE COULD PUT SOMETHING OVER ON THE BAHAMAS." COMMENT: ADDERLEY'S REFERENCE TO $25 MILLION FIGURE SOUNDS FAMILIAR FROM BRIEFING PAPERS PREPARED LAST YEAR, BUT EMBASSY HAS NO IDEA WHERE HE GOT IT FROM -- CERTAINLY NOT FROM EMBASSY SOURCES.

12. AMBASSADOR EMPHASIZED THAT IF GCOB HAS A LIST OF ECONOMIC PRIORITIES FOR WHICH IT WANTS US ASSISTANCE AS MEANS OF STRENGTHENING OVERALL BILATERAL RELATIONSHIP, WHETHER IN TERMS OF EX-IM BANK LOANS, TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE OR ECONOMIC EXPERTISE, IT SHOULD LIST THEM IN PRECISE TERMS AND WE WOULD SUBMIT THEM TO WASHINGTON FOR EVALUATION, BUT NOT SEEK TO TIE A VAGUE DESIRE FOR USG ASSISTANCE TO THE SPECIFIC ISSUE OF FACILITIES. IN ANY CASE, AMBASSADOR SAID, US MUST KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THE GCOB IS TALKING ABOUT AND EXACTLY WHAT IT WANTS BEFORE TALKS ABOUT ASSISTANCE IN ANY FORUM CAN PROGRESS VERY FAR.

13. ADDERLEY NOTED THAT WE SEEM TO BE IN A CHICKEN-AND-EGGS QUANDRY, SINCE GCOB WISHES TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER US IS WILLING TO DISCUSS GENERAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM BEFORE GCOB BEGINS TO LIST SPECIFIC ITEMS FALLING THEREIN. AMBASSADOR AGAIN URGED ADDERLEY TO DEVOTE SOME THOUGHT TO FORMULATING SPECIFIC GCOB NEEDS, EXPLAINING THAT ISSUES OF FACILITIES AND OPERATING RIGHTS WERE TECHNICAL AND PARTICULAR IN NATURE WHEREAS THE FURTHERING OF THE BASIC, FRIENDLY RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN OUR TWO COUNTRIES WAS AN ISSUE OF ANOTHER SORT WHICH SHOULD BE CONFIDENTIAL

VIEWED IN QUITE ANOTHER CONTEXT.

14. SESSION ENDED ON FRIENDLY BUT RATHER SUBDUED NOTE. AMBASSADOR TOLD ADDERLEY THAT FRNAKLY HE HAD ANTICIPATED DEVEL-

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

OPMENT OF MAXIMAL OPENING POSITION WITHIN GCOB AND HAD BEEN CONCERNED BY IT. ADDERLEY, ON OTHER HAND, SEEMED NOT TO HAVE ANTICIPATED AMBASSADOR'SPERSONAL REACTION TO HIS PRESENTATION AND PROBABLY BROUGHT MUCH FOOD FOR THOUGHT TO GCOB CABINET MEETING WHICH IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWED THE SESSION.

15. COMMENTS FOLLOW SEPTEL.
SPIERS

UNQUOTE SISCO

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 27 JUL 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: MILITARY BASES, MEETINGS, MILITARY AGREEMENTS, DIPLOMATIC NOTES, NEGOTIATIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 02 JUL 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: shawdg
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974STATE142977
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: ARA/CAR:KLWAUCHOPE:LH
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: n/a
Film Number: D740176-0604
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740789/abbryzpv.tel
Line Count: 337
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN ARA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 7
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: NASSAU 1176
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: shawdg
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 19 JUL 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <19-Jul-2001 by kellerpr>; APPROVED <19 FEB 2003 by shawdg>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: FACILITIES TALKS: ADDERLEY TALKS MAXIMAL
TAGS: MARR, BF, US
To: LONDON
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005